

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/619,738	Applicant(s) ANNAMANENI ET AL.	
	Examiner CARLOS ORTIZ RODRIGUEZ	Art Unit 2123	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Non-Final

 (1) CARLOS ORTIZ RODRIGUEZ.

(3) _____.

 (2) MICHAEL W. FARN.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2008
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Rejections present in Office Action dated 11/16/07. Specifically, rejections regarding the method claims.
Claims discussed:
Claims submitted on 4/15/08. Specifically, method claims 4, 19 and 20.
Prior art documents discussed:
Prior Art of Record, including U.S. Pat No. 6,684,121 to Lu et al.
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Please see attached Examiner's Amendment. Method claims 2-29 are cancelled.. Applicant's will consider the option of submitting a Continuation Application addressing the scope of method claims 2-29. Additionally, claim 57 is amended to clearly define the version of the Rosettanet Standard utilized by Applicant at the time the invention was made.